



SRS Citizens Advisory Board

Strategic & Long Term Issues Committee

Meeting Summary

June 11, 2002
North Augusta Community Center
North Augusta, SC

The Citizens Advisory Board (CAB) Strategic and Long Term Issues (S<I) Committee held a meeting on June 11, at the North Augusta Community Center, N. Augusta, SC. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the Performance Management Plan for the Facility Disposition and the Safeguards, Security and Emergency Services Division and hear public comment. Those in attendance were:

CAB Members

Mel Galin*
David Adcock*

Stakeholders

Russ Messick
Bill McDonell
Robert Ingram

DOE/Contractors

Clyde Terrell, DOE
George Mishra, DOE
Terry Vought, DOE
Teresa Haas, WSRC
Ed Sadowski, WSRC
Roger Duke, BNFL
Jim Moore, WSRC

* Members of the S<I Committee

** Note: Carolyn Williams, Bill Voegelé, J. G. Long and Jean Sulc, members of the S<I Committee, were not able to attend.

Mel Galin, Chair, welcomed those in attendance and asked them to introduce themselves.

Performance Management Plan

Teresa Haas, WSRC, stated that a series of public workshops on the Performance Management Plan (PMP) were being held. They started on June 10 in Augusta with meetings to be held on June 26 in Columbia and July 8 in Savannah. In addition, there are CAB committee meetings scheduled the balance of the month. The purpose of the meetings is to get public input on the PMP. There are three questions that should be considered as the public reviews the PMP. They are: (1) What is important to you in terms of risk reduction? (2) Are there certain aspects of these programs that are most important to you? If so, what? (3) What do you want us to keep in mind in setting priorities for these programs? Ms. Haas encouraged everyone to attend the other scheduled committee meetings.

Facility Disposition Division (FDD)

Roger Duke, FDD Program Manager, stated the FDD program is made up of six different areas: facility transition, risk management, deactivation, decontamination, decommissioning, and technology deployment. Of 183 inactive structures and facilities on site, 139 are managed by FDD. 30 structures have been identified for transition to FDD.

Mr. Duke reviewed the facility life cycle that includes operations, disposition and long-term stewardship. Two to three years before shutdown, deactivation planning commences. The facilities are deactivated to the lowest possible cost phase. At this time, there is no decommissioning plan.

Mr. Duke reviewed the six different work areas of FDD. In the PMP, FDD contributes to the risk reduction by proposing a decommissioning initiative. The initiative in the PMP recommends decommissioning 72 facilities (568,000 ft²) in the T, D and M Area of the site. This effort would consolidate the remaining facilities to the center of the site. Estimated savings are \$945 million.

FDD also plans on developing an end state plan. The purpose of the plan is to determine what the site will look like at the end. Stakeholders as well as regulators will be involved in the process. A disposition decision model will be used to determine the final end-state.

Bill McDonnell suggested that the one element of reducing risk is implicit in the PMP. Over time and by decreasing the hazardous materials at the site, risk is reduced. He commented that the site should take credit for this implicit risk reduction in all the initiatives in the PMP.

Safeguards, Security and Emergency Services Division (SSESD)

Dr. Sadowski, WSRC, reviewed the mission of the safeguards and security area noting the purpose was to protect against theft or diversion of classified and nuclear materials, government property, sabotage, espionage, and hostile acts. The mission of Emergency Services is to minimize potential consequences of hazards to personnel, local residents, the environment, property, and missions through emergency planning, preparedness, and response.

The PMP initiatives can accelerate improvements to SRS security infrastructure. While substantial security infrastructure exists, since 9/11, the bar for security has been raised to a new level. These initiatives will help us meet that new level. The site barricades will be replaced to improve vehicle control and inspection. In addition, obsolete access control and alarm monitoring equipment will be updated. Another initiative will be to create a centralized fire alarm monitoring system service at SRS to benefit the DOE complex. A modest investment at SRS can save \$25-50 million investment in alarm infrastructure and associated operations costs at other sites.

Other

Mel Galin commented that he would put out a memo to the committee members to discuss committee responsibilities such as the budget process and the technical aspects of the committee. There are four areas of the PMP that Mr. Galin said could deal with recommendations. They are:

1. Generic Budget and Planning Cycle – Could request a matrix that sets time, schedule, cost, technology, risk and milestones related to Environmental Management issues.
2. Security and Infrastructure – Need to think about security and infrastructure issues where to spread the dollars.
3. End State Plan – The CAB has been interested in long-term stewardship and it is considered a long time off. The committee would be interested in being involved with this plan.
4. Develop Initiatives in PMP to the CAB Work Plan – The Work Plans should relate to the PMP to remain focused.

There was discussion about having the vice-chairs of the committee discuss the committee work by phone before the next committee meeting.

Public Comments

There was no public comment.

Mr. Galin adjourned the meeting.

Meeting handouts may be obtained by calling 1-800-249-8155.